

The Case for In-Process Analytics

Hannes Mühleisen & Mark Raasveldt

Architecture Timeline

















Reflections on Client/Server for OLAP

ML is not going to move into DB. Even if we wish it very hard.



Perception

ML is not going to move into DB. Even if we wish it very hard.



Conclusion: Integrate not try to absorb

Client Server ruins DB/ML marriage Can't transfer serious data amounts



Perception

Client Server ruins DB/ML marriage Can't transfer serious data amounts



Reality

Conclusion: Client/Server very problematic If DB is bottleneck in ML pipeline, it is removed

In-Process Integration



- Zero-Copy
- No Server Management
- Easy Installation
- Script Portability
- Function Pointer UDFs ^^

In-Process can be tricky

DB

That's no moon!

• Can't crash, would take host down

Random Hardware Quality

Self-Checking required

- Can't use mmap, signal handlers, locale, errno etc.
- Strings...

DuckDB

- In-process OLAP DBMS, written in C++11
- Full SQL support
- No external dependencies
- APIs for C, C++, CLI, Python, R, Java, Node.JS, ...
- Extensively tested
- MIT License

Yes I am mad

Demo?!



Change is possible Only took eight years

- 2014: We demo Zero-Copy DB <> R Integration (using "memory rewiring" *avant la lettre*)
- 2016: Gabe Becker proposes R ALTREP (Lazy vectors) at DSC
- 2018: ALTREP released in R 3.5.0
- 2021: DuckDB releases ALTREP for Strings

Demo2

Conclusion

- OLAP systems are better in-process
- New challenges!
 - In-Process cooperation
 - Hardware second-guessing
 - Bulk Transactions
 - Gracious Out-of-core

@hfmuehleisen <u>duckdb.org</u>